Reply to
Attn of: CACFP-527

Subject: Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) Policy Memorandum

To: STATE AGENCY DIRECTORS (Child Nutrition Programs)
   - Colorado DPHE, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri DH, Montana DPHHS,
   - Nebraska ED, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, and
   - Wyoming ED

Attached is a summary of questions and answers that have been raised since our last CACFP question and answer memorandum, CACFP-504, dated March 6, 1997.

We will continue to compile additional information and submit it to the State Agencies as the need arises.

Ann C. Degroat
Regional Director
Child Nutrition Programs

Attachment

8. Q. Since Q &A 506-20 allowed the effective date of income eligibility for a child in a day care home to be back to the first day that the child participates in the month the eligibility determination is made, may this guidance be applied to children in child care centers?

   A. Yes
1. Q. May income eligibility application forms (IEFs) be transferred from one institution to another when an institution is sold?

   A. Yes; subject to approval by the State Agency (SA). The SA should be comfortable with the management practices of the institution being sold, and be confident that the IEFs were originally collected and approved in conformance with the Regulations.

3. Q. Can black strap molasses be served to a 10-month old baby?

   A. Yes.

4. Q. Can a family day care home provider serve as a member of a Board for the sponsoring organization?

   A. Yes. However, the provider would have to abstain from voting on any issue that might be a conflict of interest.

5. Q. Are adult cereals, such as 'Cheerios', creditable as bread/bread alternates for snacks for infants 8 - 11 months old?

   A. No. However, they may be served as additional foods.

6. Q. A sponsoring organization has extra administrative funds; therefore it wants to make a larger down payment on a car lease in order to lower the monthly payments. Is this permissible?

   A. No. The customary dealer charges should be paid. To pay a larger up-front payment because of excess funds which would reduce the monthly payments in the future would be paying for the next fiscal year's expenses using current fiscal year's funds.

7. Q. A City/County court issued a court order for the sponsor to issue a provider's check to be made payable to the court and the plaintiff's lawyer. Is this permissible?

   A. Yes. However, the sponsor needs to obtain a copy of the court order and run it past its legal counsel to determine if the paperwork is in order. If it is, then there is no prohibition against this type of garnishment.